

FICTIONAL COMPOSITE / REAL PRACTITIONER PATTERN

ONE SCREENSHOT, TEN CONTROLS, ZERO OWNERS

The evidence was copied so efficiently that nobody remembered what the original result had been created to prove.

01

**One screenshot reached ten controls.
Its meaning did not survive the trip.**

02

**Setting enabled became control
configured, then all systems covered,
then operating effectively.**

03

**Every caption needed the picture to
prove slightly more than the last one.**

04

A shared control name hid three completely different implementations.

05

**Nobody owned the combined claim
because nobody operated the
combined control.**

The team mapped source, population, method, timestamp, claim, limitation, and consumers.

07

The screenshot supported two narrow claims - not ten ambitious ones.

08

**Reuse evidence, not ambiguity.
Preserve lineage before copying the
file.**

THE NEXT USEFUL QUESTION

Find the most reused evidence file in your program and map every downstream claim before allowing one more copy.

FIND / MAP / EXPLAIN / REDUCE / PROVE