

AFTER-HOURS PROVOCATION

IS THIS EVIDENCE OR NOSTALGIA?

Familiar evidence is comforting precisely because nobody has to learn what changed.

SCOTT NORTON / PCI DSS QSA | JOHANSON GROUP, LLP

THE WHISPER

**LAST YEAR'S SCREENSHOT
REMEMBERS A CONTROL THAT MAY NO
LONGER EXIST.**

AFTER DARK

WHAT THE ENVIRONMENT WHISPERS

Teams often begin with the prior assessment package because it is organized, labeled, and socially proven. That makes it useful as a map of former expectations - and dangerous as a substitute for current understanding. A familiar artifact can outlive the system, population, owner, method, or conclusion it once supported.

IN THE MORNING LIGHT

WHAT THE PROVOCATION REVEALS

Start the new cycle with the current claim and current architecture. Use last year's evidence to identify what needs revalidation, not what deserves automatic reuse. Every reused artifact should answer a fresh question: what stayed the same, who confirmed it, and what change sources were checked?

MORNING-AFTER QUESTION 01

**WHAT CURRENT CLAIM IS THIS
ARTIFACT SUPPOSED TO SUPPORT?**

MORNING-AFTER QUESTIONS

02

Which facts have been revalidated since it was created?

03

What change source could contradict it?

ONE MOVE BEFORE BREAKFAST

**CHOOSE THE MOST REUSED ARTIFACT
IN THE PRIOR PACKAGE AND WRITE A
CURRENT-STATE VALIDATION NOTE
BEFORE ACCEPTING IT AGAIN.**

MORNING BOUNDARY

PROVOCATION, THEN PRECISION.

PRACTITIONER POSITION / AI ASSISTED

Editorial shorthand, not PCI SSC terminology or guidance, an organizational position, or an assessment conclusion. Authorship and authority classification do not determine legal intellectual-property ownership.

CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION

theclusterofwack.com/pillow-talk/is-this-evidence-or-nostalgia

The uncomfortable things your control environment whispers after the meeting.