

AFTER-HOURS PROVOCATION

WHO IS ALLOWED TO SAY NO?

Consensus is lovely until the architecture needs an uncomfortable answer.

SCOTT NORTON / PCI DSS QSA | JOHANSON GROUP, LLP

THE WHISPER

**A ROOM FULL OF STAKEHOLDERS IS
NOT THE SAME THING AS ONE
DECISION OWNER.**

AFTER DARK

WHAT THE ENVIRONMENT WHISPERS

Complex control problems attract large meetings. Each participant contributes context, but participation can blur authority. The room discusses, the minutes record, and the questionable dependency survives because nobody is clearly empowered to reject the risk, fund the change, or accept the consequence.

IN THE MORNING LIGHT

WHAT THE PROVOCATION REVEALS

Name decision rights before requesting consensus. Who recommends? Who provides facts? Who must be consulted? Who can authorize the next state? And who owns the outcome after the meeting ends? The useful name is not merely the coordinator. It is the person who can make the uncomfortable decision stick.

MORNING-AFTER QUESTION 01

WHICH DECISION IS ACTUALLY BEING REQUESTED?

MORNING-AFTER QUESTIONS

02

Who can approve, reject, or fund it?

03

Who owns the consequence after the decision?

ONE MOVE BEFORE BREAKFAST

**REWRITE THE NEXT MEETING
INVITATION SO IT NAMES THE
DECISION, THE DECISION OWNER, AND
THE EVIDENCE REQUIRED TO DECIDE.**

MORNING BOUNDARY

PROVOCATION, THEN PRECISION.

PRACTITIONER POSITION / AI ASSISTED

Editorial shorthand, not PCI SSC terminology or guidance, an organizational position, or an assessment conclusion. Authorship and authority classification do not determine legal intellectual-property ownership.

CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION

theclusterofwack.com/pillow-talk/who-is-allowed-to-say-no

The uncomfortable things your control environment whispers after the meeting.