

FIVE-STATE ASSESSMENT RECONCILIATION

Participants can separate five assessment objects, identify an unsupported join, write a bounded disposition, and name a specific reopening trigger.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
-----------------------------	------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------

FORTY-FIVE-MINUTE RUN OF SHOW

00-05	Name the claim Read the accountable assertion aloud. Do not show the answer key or discuss compliance status.
05-10	Assign the five objects Give each participant or group one role. Ask what their role can state, and what it cannot conclude.
10-18	Classify independently Place every record into one state before debating whether the assertion survives.
18-25	Challenge the joins Reveal the key. Identify every change in subject, population, period, method, authority, or conclusion.
25-35	Write the disposition Record the narrowest supportable conclusion, the open mismatch, the next evidence, and the reopening trigger.
35-45	Debrief the system Ask which workflow or platform field collapsed the five states and how the operating record should change.

WHO CAN SAY WHAT?

ROLE CARDS

CONTROL OWNER State the proposition you are accountable for and its exact population and period. Boundary: Cannot turn intent, policy, or memory into validation.	EVIDENCE CUSTODIAN Describe what each artifact literally represents, including source and capture time. Boundary: Cannot expand an artifact beyond the occurrence or population it records.
ASSESSOR Describe the work performed and the precise conclusion it supports. Boundary: Cannot silently substitute an assertion or artifact for assessment work.	JOIN CHALLENGER Find changes in subject, population, period, method, authority, or conclusion. Boundary: Cannot reject a claim merely because it is imperfectly worded; name the failed join.
DECISION RECORDER Write the bounded disposition, open item, owner, next evidence, and reopening trigger. Boundary: Cannot record green, complete, or compliant without the bounded decision behind it.	

FACILITATOR RULES

- Classify every object before judging the claim.
- Require a named subject, population, period, method, and authority.
- Preserve positive evidence even when the broad assertion fails.
- Do not convert an unresolved mismatch into an automatic finding or compliance conclusion.
- End with an owner, next evidence, and reopening trigger.

DEBRIEF QUESTIONS

- 01 Which object did the group initially treat as proof of another object?
- 02 Where did the subject, population, period, method, authority, or conclusion change?
- 03 What is the narrowest claim the validation can support today?
- 04 What remains open, who owns it, and what exact evidence could change the disposition?
- 05 Which future event must reopen the decision?

FOUR QUARTERS, ONE TICKET

ACCOUNTABLE ASSERTION

All privileged access to the defined production CDE population was reviewed in each required quarterly occurrence during 2026.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
EXPECTED PA-01	Policy v4.2 Privileged access is reviewed quarterly for the defined production CDE population.		This creates an organizational expectation. It does not prove performance.	
OBSERVED PA-02	Identity export A September 30 query returned 42 currently privileged accounts.		This is a bounded current fact with a method and timestamp.	
DOCUMENTED PA-03	Ticket IGA-441 The ticket records a Q4 review and two resolved exceptions.		This artifact records one occurrence. Its existence does not create three earlier occurrences.	
ASSERTED PA-04	Control-owner representation The owner states that all four quarterly reviews operated during 2026.		An accountable party is asking another party to rely on a year-wide proposition.	
VALIDATED PA-05	Assessment workpaper Inspection and reperformance support Q4 only; no conclusion was reached for Q1 through Q3.		This is the bounded conclusion produced by defined assessment work.	

MISMATCH GATE

The annual assertion is broader than the documented occurrence and validation boundary. A current population observation cannot reconstruct Q1 through Q3.

BOUNDED DISPOSITION

Narrow the supported conclusion to Q4, keep Q1 through Q3 open, and identify the occurrence records or other valid evidence needed for additional validation.

REOPEN WHEN: A new population, failed occurrence, exception, contrary observation, or period rollover.

ONE MONTH, ONE BOUNDED CONCLUSION

ACCOUNTABLE ASSERTION

The September production firewall-rule review covered the approved rule population and every exception received accountable disposition.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
EXPECTED FW-01	Network standard v6 The monthly review covers the approved production rule population and resolves exceptions.		The standard defines the expected occurrence and outcome.	
OBSERVED FW-02	Configuration export The September 29 export contained 318 enabled rules and matched the approved population digest.		The export comparison is a bounded observation of the population.	
DOCUMENTED FW-03	Review record NET-992 The record names the population, reviewer, decisions, and three exceptions.		The record documents the September occurrence and its decisions.	
ASSERTED FW-04	Director representation The director asserts that all 318 rules were reviewed and all three exceptions were dispositioned.		The accountable assertion matches one named occurrence and population.	
VALIDATED FW-05	Validation record Population reconciliation, inspection, and reperformance support the September assertion and its three exception dispositions.		The conclusion is bounded to the tested subject, population, method, and month.	

MISMATCH GATE

No unsupported join is identified in the fictional record set. The conclusion still does not extend beyond September or survive future changes automatically.

BOUNDED DISPOSITION

Accept the September conclusion within its recorded population, occurrence, evidence, method, and authority boundary.

REOPEN WHEN: A rule, population, reviewer, exception, method, source, or period change.

THE MATRIX SAYS PROVIDER; THE SERVICE SAYS SHARED

ACCOUNTABLE ASSERTION

The provider performs and validates every activity assigned to it for the exact service used by the assessed entity.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
EXPECTED TP-01	Responsibility schedule The current agreement assigns named activities across the provider and customer boundary.			The schedule creates an expected responsibility model. It is not performance evidence.
OBSERVED TP-02	Console walkthrough The deployed tenant requires the customer to configure one security setting described as provider-managed in the summary matrix.			The walkthrough is a bounded fact about the deployed service and tenant.
DOCUMENTED TP-03	Provider assurance packet The packet names the service but describes the disputed activity differently across two documents.			The documents are records of provider statements; the contradiction remains part of the evidence.
ASSERTED TP-04	Service-owner representation The owner asserts that no customer action is required because the provider is compliant.			The owner is asking the assessment team to rely on a broad deferral claim.
VALIDATED TP-05	Boundary workpaper Inspection supports provider performance for named activities but leaves the disputed tenant setting unresolved.			The conclusion preserves the supported provider work and the open customer-side mismatch.

MISMATCH GATE

A provider assurance statement does not resolve a contradiction between the responsibility record and the deployed tenant. Provider compliance and customer implementation are different propositions.

BOUNDED DISPOSITION

Retain the supported provider activities, open the disputed tenant setting, obtain a correction-grade answer, and evaluate interim customer evidence without rewriting the provider packet.

REOPEN WHEN: A corrected assurance packet, service change, tenant configuration change, contract change, or provider assessment-period rollover.

THE CALLBACK NOBODY PUT IN THE PUBLIC INVENTORY

ACCOUNTABLE ASSERTION

Every Internet-reachable payment-related endpoint in the defined application population was represented in the external testing records.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
EXPECTED PB-01	Testing scope procedure The procedure requires reconciliation of the defined public application population to each applicable testing population.		The procedure states the organizational expectation and reconciliation rule.	
OBSERVED PB-02	Provider configuration A provider console names a live callback hostname that resolves through the entity's public edge.		The console and resolution check establish one bounded public entryway fact.	
DOCUMENTED PB-03	External test inventory The retained inventory names the main application hostname but not the callback hostname or its termination path.		The inventory literally records an incomplete named population.	
ASSERTED PB-04	Application-owner representation The owner asserts that the callback was covered because it reaches the same application stack.		This is a coverage claim whose hostname, termination, interface, and method boundaries still need testing evidence.	
VALIDATED PB-05	Population reconciliation The records support coverage of the primary hostname; callback coverage cannot be concluded from the supplied test identifiers.		The conclusion is limited to the entries that can be reconciled across the named populations.	

MISMATCH GATE

Shared infrastructure does not, by itself, prove that a distinct hostname, interface, route, or application behavior was represented in every applicable testing population.

BOUNDED DISPOSITION

Accept the supported primary-host coverage, keep callback coverage open, reconcile the callback's hostname, termination, application path, and test identifiers, then determine the required follow-up.

REOPEN WHEN: A new hostname, callback, origin, address, edge service, application route, deployment, or testing-method change.

APPROVED CHANGE, UNPROVEN PRODUCTION STATE

ACCOUNTABLE ASSERTION

The approved authentication change was deployed to the complete production population and operated as designed after release.

01 EXPECTED Criterion	02 OBSERVED Fact	03 DOCUMENTED Record	04 ASSERTED Claim	05 VALIDATED Conclusion
EXPECTED CH-01	Release standard Approved authentication changes require testing, deployment evidence, population reconciliation, and post-release confirmation.		The release standard defines what should happen.	
OBSERVED CH-02	Production query A post-release query found the new setting on 18 of 20 named production instances.		The query is a bounded fact about a named production population at one time.	
DOCUMENTED CH-03	Change record CR-882 The ticket contains approval, staging results, and a deployment job marked successful.		The ticket records approvals and job output but does not erase the production population variance.	
ASSERTED CH-04	Release-manager representation The manager asserts that the change completed successfully across production.		The manager owns a population-wide claim that conflicts with the bounded observation.	
VALIDATED CH-05	Post-release validation The work supports 18 conforming instances and identifies two instances requiring disposition; population-wide operation is not validated.		The conclusion preserves both the positive evidence and the exact exception population.	

MISMATCH GATE

Approval, staging success, and a successful deployment job do not prove the resulting state of every production instance when the production observation shows exceptions.

BOUNDED DISPOSITION

Validate the 18 observed conforming instances, record and remediate the two exceptions, determine exposure during the variance, and repeat bounded production confirmation.

REOPEN WHEN: Remediation, rollback, redeployment, population change, exception expiry, or a contrary production observation.

WHAT SURVIVES THE JOINS?

Ask these questions in order. Do not collapse the answer into a traffic-light status.

01 Which object did the group initially treat as proof of another object?

02 Where did the subject, population, period, method, authority, or conclusion change?

03 What is the narrowest claim the validation can support today?

04 What remains open, who owns it, and what exact evidence could change the disposition?

05 Which future event must reopen the decision?

RECORD THE DECISION

Supported claim boundary: _____

Open mismatch and owner: _____

Next evidence and method: _____

Reopening trigger: _____

AUTHORITY BOUNDARY

The five-state vocabulary and facilitation pattern are Scott Norton's practitioner method. They are not PCI SSC terminology, an official assessment procedure, or a compliance conclusion. AI_ASSISTED records production provenance and is not a professional conclusion. Independent practitioner resource; not affiliated with or endorsed by PCI SSC. Authorship and authority classification do not determine legal intellectual-property ownership.

REVIEWED SOURCE / WACK-CONTENT-0129 / sha256:2e02e02dd828f51789ec9daed3b1d64b784f9d8fcccc230ee73f14f2607bc87d